

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH.

C.O.C.P. No. 333 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 16.08.2018

Kamlesh Sharma

..... Petitioner

Versus

T.K. Goyal

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. R.S. Dadwal, Advocate for
Mr. Aman Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mrs. Monika Chhiber Sharma, Senior DAG, Punjab.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner, by filing the writ petition bearing *CWP No. 10818 of 2016*, had claimed for counting of service rendered by her husband in the erstwhile respondent No.5-Shivalik College, Naya Nangal, District Roopnagar from the date of his appointment till the date of taking over the said college by the State on 12.05.1997. The said writ petition was disposed of by a learned Single Judge of this Court vide order dated 31.05.2016 **(Annexure P-1)** with direction to respondent No.3 to decide the representation within a period of three months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Since the needful was not done within the stipulated period of three months, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, reply stands filed.

In reply dated 09.03.2018 at para No.4, it is stated that in compliance of the order dated 31.05.2016 (Annexure P-1), the representation dated 09.02.2016 of the petitioner has been considered by the office of the DPI (Colleges), Punjab and the relief claimed has been settled by holding that the benefits of past service have been extended to the petitioner; the Government of Punjab, Department of Higher Education vide memo dated 28.03.2017 had given its approval to pay the revised pensionary benefits to the petitioner and the payments of arrears of revised gratuity and leave encashment had already been made to the petitioner. Accordingly, a speaking order dated 22.02.2018 has been passed, which is annexed as Annexure R-1.

In view of above, counsel for the parties concede that the order dated 31.05.2016 (**P-1**) stands complied with, therefore, no further action is warranted in the present contempt petition, as the same has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

August 16, 2018
A.Kaundal

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No