

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

110

COCN No.3159 of 2017

Date of Decision: November 15th, 2018

Pritam Kaur and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Dr. Subhas Verma and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Viraj Gandhi, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. S.P.S. Tinna, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

In pursuance to the order dated 30.10.2018, according to which the petitioner was allotted a plot and thereafter a speaking order dated 29.10.2018 has been passed by the Chairman, Improvement Trust, Ludhiana, whereby the reason as to why 125% of the reserve price was charged, has been given.

Counsel for the petitioners has asserted that the notification, on which reliance has been placed by the Chairman of the Improvement Trust, Ludhiana, would not be applicable to the claim of the petitioners.

That issue cannot be dealt in the present contempt petition and the same is, therefore, left open for the petitioners to agitate in an appropriate remedy in accordance with law.

The contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

November 15th, 2018

Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No