

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-3153-2017
Date of Decision: 06th September, 2018

Naresh Kumar ...Petitioner
Versus
J. Ganeshan, Chief Administrator, HUDA & another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Varun Baanth, Advocate, for
Mr. Sunny Bhardwaj, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Chhavi Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Reply by way of affidavit of the Estate Officer, HSVP, Rewari, has been filed in Court, which is taken on record, copy supplied to the proxy counsel appearing for the counsel for the petitioner. As per the said reply, an allotment letter(Annexure R-2) has been issued to the petitioner, according to which, petitioner has been allotted Plot No.466 measuring 220.50 square metres.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous. Disposed of as such. In case the petitioner is aggrieved of the decision taken by the respondents, copy of which is appended along with the reply as Annexure R-2, he may avail of his remedy in accordance with law.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged subject to above.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE
06th September, 2018
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No