

230

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.3111 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 12.07.2018

RAJENDER

..... Petitioner

Versus

**DEPINDER SINGH DHESI, CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVERNMENT
OF HARYANA, CHANDIGARH.**

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sandeep Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Addl. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The petitioner alongwith other brothers had filed a writ petition bearing *CWP No.705 of 2014* seeking mandamus to direct the respondents to provide Government job to one of the dependent of the member of the family of the farmers on account of acquisition of their land by the State of Haryana for the purpose of Rajiv Gandhi Thermal Plant, Khedar (Hisar). The aforesaid writ petition was *dismissed as withdrawn* by this Court vide order dated 16.01.2014 (**Annexure P-1**) with the following order/directions:-

“ Counsel for the petitioners, after arguing for some time,
prays for withdrawal of the writ petition with liberty to approach
the Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana-respondent No. 1 to

claim parity and benefits similar to the one, which have been granted by the Government of Haryana vide decision dated 05.07.2007 (Annexure P-4) in the matter of acquisition of land for thermal plant, Khedar District Hisar.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty aforesaid.

In case such a representation is submitted to the Chief Secretary, Government of Haryana-respondent No. 1 by the petitioners, the same be considered sympathetically by the said respondent within a reasonable time. ”

Since no action was taken on the representation of the petitioner, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, reply stands filed, wherein it is stated that after considering the claim/representation of the petitioner, the same has been rejected vide memo dated 08.07.2015 and 28.08.2015 (**Annexures R-1 & R-2 respectively**), passed by office of the Executive Engineer, Public Health Engineering Division No.2, Narnaul.

In view of above, counsel for the respondent states that the order in question has been complied with, therefore, no further action is warranted in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of.

Rule is discharged.

Petitioner is granted liberty to challenge the aforesaid order dated 08.07.2015 and 28.08.2015 (**R-1 & R-2** respectively).

July 12, 2018

'rajneesh'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No