

**227 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.3093 of 2016
DATE OF DECISION:06.02.2018

Munshi Ram

..Petitioner

Vs.

D. L. Hansu & another

..Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Naveen Dahiya, Advocate for
Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Prateek Mahajan, Advocate
for the respondents.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents submits that after filing of the contempt petition, a detailed speaking order has been passed on 01.04.2017 which is annexed as Annexure R-3. It is clearly mentioned in that order that W/Charge T/Mate period w.e.f. 01.02.1969 to 28.03.1973 towards pensionary benefits has been counted in favour of the petitioner.

Since compliance of the order is there, the contempt petition stands disposed of. In case the petitioner is still aggrieved in any manner, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

06.02.2018
rimal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No