

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

COCF No.3007 of 2016

Date of Decision: November 12th, 2018

Sarbjeet Kaur and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Sh. Arpit Shukla, I.P.S.

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: None for the petitioners.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have filed CRM-M-21984 of 2016, which was disposed of by this Court vide order dated 29.06.2016 (Annexure P-1) directing the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to look into the representation preferred by the petitioners and if required, provide necessary protection. In pursuance thereto, when no action was taken, the present contempt petition was preferred. In response to the notice issued by this Court, reply by way of affidavit dated 09.03.2017 of the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, was filed in Court, according to which a speaking order was passed on the representation of the petitioners by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Jalandhar (Rural), wherein the petitioners had stated that there is no need of any protection now, which fact was disputed by the counsel for the petitioners on 05.05.2017 when the case was taken up, because of which petitioners were called in person in Court. Petitioners have not appeared in Court since then and on the last date of hearing as well as today despite the case having been called twice, none has put in appearance on behalf of the petitioners nor are petitioners present.

In view of the above, it appears that the petitioners are no more

interested in pursuing the matter. The contempt petition, therefore, stands dismissed for non-prosecution.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

November 12th, 2018

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No