

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

119

COCP No.2921 of 2018

Date of Decision: September 14th, 2018

Janak Raj and others

...Petitioners

Versus

Manjit Singh Narang

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sukhmani Patwalia, Advocate
for Mr. Vikas Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners contends that while passing the order dated 12.06.2018 (Annexure P-6), respondent has not considered the claim of the petitioners with regard to the grant of Dearness Allowance. She, therefore, contends that the order passed by this Court has not been complied with as she had prayed in the representation for grant of not only the interest but the Dearness Allowance also.

2. This contention of the counsel for the petitioners cannot be accepted to the extent of it being contempt of the order passed by this Court. A claim made in the representation, which has not been decided, for which direction has been issued by this Court to be decided and reference to the said order has been made, would invariably mean rejection of the said claim on consideration.

3. Petitioners, if so advised, may avail of their remedy in accordance with law.

4. The present contempt petition is disposed of with above observations.

September 14th, 2018

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**