

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

126

COCF No.2912 of 2018

Date of Decision: October 17th, 2018

Balwinder Rai

...Petitioner

Versus

Sukhchain Singh Gill and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Neeraj Madaan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Short reply by way of affidavit of Commissioner of Police, Ludhiana, has been filed in Court, according to the same, after inquiry, the representation of the petitioner has been found to be without any basis and false. This fact is disputed by the counsel for the petitioner by asserting that there were certain CCTV footage, which have not been taken into consideration by the respondents.

In the light of the order dated 19.03.2018 passed by this Court in CRM-M No.11485 of 2018, in the considered opinion of this Court, the same stands complied with.

The present contempt petition is disposed of accordingly.

Petitioner, if has any grievance in this regard, as has been highlighted by the counsel for the petitioner, he may avail of his remedy in accordance with law.

October 17th, 2018

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No