

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

134

COCP No.2811 of 2018

Date of Decision: September 11th, 2018

Pooja Rani and another

...Petitioners

Versus

Sh. Pritam Singh

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. K.K. Thakur, Advocate
for the petitioners.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioners have approached this Court alleging violation of the order dated 20.04.2018 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CRM-M-16300 of 2018 which was preferred by the petitioners.

2. Perusal of the said order would indicate that the direction issued by this Court was to the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, to look into the grievance of the petitioners expressed in the representation dated 18.04.2018 and to take appropriate action, if any, warranted in the circumstances.

3. It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioners that the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, has not taken any action on the representation of the petitioners, which he was directed to decide.

4. This contention of learned counsel for the petitioners cannot be accepted as there is no direction issued by this Court directing the Senior Superintendent of Police, Ferozepur, to decide the representation, what has been stated by this Court is to take appropriate action, if warranted in the circumstances of the case. It appears that there was no such situation which would warrant any action to be taken on the representation of the petitioners.

5. Counsel for the petitioners asserts that the petitioners are running and are being chased by the parents of petitioner No.1 and he further states that the petitioners have been manhandled also.

6. This contention of the counsel for the petitioners cannot be accepted in the light of the fact that no medico-legal report has been placed on record, which would substantiate the contention as has been raised by the counsel for the petitioners. There appears to be no violation of the order passed by this Court on 20.04.2018. No ground for issuing notice for contempt to the respondents is made out.

7. The contempt petition stands dismissed.

September 11th, 2018

Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI H)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No