

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CP No.283 of 2002 (O&M)

Date of decision: August 02, 2018

Bakelit Hylam Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

M/s Ranbro Brakes India Ltd.

...Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mr. Justice Rakesh Kumar Jain

Present: Ms.Samiya Singh , Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr.G.P.S.Bal, Advocate for the respondent.

Rakesh Kumar Jain, J. (Oral)

Counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner would file a separate petition for the purpose of recovering the component of interest which has accrued on the principal amount that has already stood paid by the respondent.

Counsel for the respondent, however, has contested this statement on the ground that the interest cannot be recovered.

Be that as it may, since CP No.283 of 2002 has already been admitted by this Court vide order dated 4.9.2015 in regard to the payment of the outstanding amount, therefore, this petition has become infructuous at this stage.

Disposed of as such.

August 02, 2018

Meenu

**(Rakesh Kumar Jain)
Judge**

Whether speaking / reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No