

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No. 2790 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.07.2018**

Randhir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

Arun Singh, Superintendent of Police, Jind and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Rajesh Bansal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Vashisht, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent No. 1.

Mr. Jagbir Malik, Advocate
for respondent Nos. 2 & 3.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The dispute is between two brothers i.e., petitioner and respondent No. 2 over ancestral land, which appears to be of partition as well as of giving land more than their share. Both of them are co-sharers in the land in dispute and are getting land and more than their respective entitlement. The petitioner is stated to be a senior citizen.

The petitioner had filed a petition bearing *CRM-M No. 8754 of 2017*, under Section 482 Cr.P.C. seeking directions to the official respondents for protection of his life and liberty being invaded at the hands of private respondent Nos. 6 to 8 therein.

The aforesaid petition was disposed of by learned Single Judge of this Court vide order dated 16.03.2017 (**Annexure P-1**), wherein respondent No. 4 (Superintendent of Police, Jind) was directed to look into the grievance of representation made by the petitioner and needful shall be

done within a period of two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of the order.

Inspite of the repeated requests of the petitioner, no action was taken by the respondents, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, a reply stands filed, wherein it is submitted that in compliance of the order dated 16.03.2017 (P-1), the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Safidon, after conducting enquiry on 15.04.2017, has submitted report that the litigation among the parties on the issue of partition of land are going in Courts and no cognizable offence has been found committed and Station House Officer, Police Station-Safidon, has been directed to take preventive action in the matter. Thereafter, on 26.04.2017, in view of the report of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Safidon, the matter was disposed by the Superintendent of Police, Jind, by directing the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Safidon and Station House Officer, Police Station, Safidon, to ensure that the life and liberty of the petitioner be protected in accordance with law. Moreover, during the course of enquiry, the allegations levelled by the petitioner against respondent No. 2 were found false and frivolous.

This Court vide order dated 15.11.2017 had appointed Mr. S.S. Kharb, Advocate as Local Commissioner to visit the spot with the assistance of the concerned Partwari, Lambardar and Sapranch of the Village concerned, as the parties were claiming more than their entitlement. The Local Commissioner has submitted his report, wherein, in conclusion it is submitted that ***'Randhir Singh-petitioner and his family members are having more share of the total agricultural land than Sukhbir Singh-respondent and his family members and there is no clash or threat from***

either side to any party except the court cases among them'.

In view of above, counsel for the parties concede that the order dated 16.03.2017 **(P-1)** stands complied with, therefore, no further action is warranted in the present contempt petition, as the same has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

July 09, 2018

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>