

COCF No. 2749 of 2016 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 14.12.2018

Dinesh Kumar Jassi

.....Petitioner

Versus

Gurpreet Singh Khehra and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Vishneet Singh, Advocate for
Mr. D.K. Bhatti, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashok K.Bazaz, Advocate
for respondent No.1.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The matter is being adjourned on behalf of the requests of learned counsel for the petitioner since the last more than 7-8 hearings. Today also, a request for adjournment is made. No reason for the said request is forthcoming.

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 04.10.2008, vide which a direction was issued to remove the encroachment.

Reply on behalf of respondent is already on record. As per para 2 of the said reply, the width of both the impugned streets is intact and no encroachment has been made thereon.

No rejoinder has been filed on behalf of the petitioner. Thus, I do not find any reason to continue the present contempt petition any further. The present contempt petition is dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged.

However, in case there is any further encroachment, the petitioner is at liberty to approach the concerned authority and bring the same to the notice of the respondents for necessary action in accordance with law.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

14.12.2018
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No