

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

209

COCN No.2619 of 2017

Date of Decision: October 6th, 2018

Laxmi Devi

...Petitioner

Versus

Satish Chandara and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab.

Mr. Shashi Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Short reply by way of affidavit of Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Kotkapura-respondent No.3 has been filed in Court. Similarly the affidavit dated 04.10.2018 of the Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab, Chandigarh, has also been filed in Court, taken on record. Along with the affidavit of the Director, Department of Local Government, Punjab, is appended orders dated 01.06.2017 and 07.09.2018 holding the petitioner entitled to the consequential benefits on consideration of the claim of the petitioner. The consequential benefits have also been released as per the affidavit of the Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Kotkapura, which renders the present contempt petition infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

October 6th, 2018

Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No