

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

COC-2607-2017

Date of decision: 16.05.2018

Gurnam Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Suresh Arora

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present : Mr. Mohit Jaggi, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. P. S. Bajwa, Addl. A. G., Punjab.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had approached this Court earlier in his promotion matter to the post of Inspector in Punjab Police at the stage when he was in extension of service after superannuation. That was in CWP No. 9771 of 2017 which was disposed of on 08.05.2017 by passing the following order:

“The petitioner claims that he retired from service on 30.11.2016. Thereafter on 14.12.2016, some of his juniors were promoted and even some of the retired officers were given notional promotion as Inspectors. However, the name of the petitioner was not considered. The petitioner has already made a representation dated 20.12.2016 (Annexure-P3) to respondents, but to no avail. The short prayer at this stage is to direct respondents to decide the said representation dated 20.12.2016 (Annexure-P-3) in a time bound manner by passing a speaking order. In these circumstances, without issuing notice to opposite party, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No. 2 to pass a speaking order regarding claim of the petitioner made in the representation dated 20.12.2016 (Annexure-P-3) within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.”

In response to the notice issued by this Court, the Director

General of Police, Punjab, respondent herein, has filed compliance report by way of affidavit in which it is revealed that orders passed by this Court have been complied with by passing a speaking order dated 06.12.2017, attached as Annexure A-1 with the affidavit.

Since the directions of this Court have been complied with, no further orders are called for in the present contempt petition.

Petition is disposed of. Rule is discharged.

However, if the petitioner is aggrieved by the said order, he is at liberty to challenge the same in appropriate proceedings.

16.05.2018

Waseem Ansari

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes/No.

Whether Reportable

Yes/No