

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-2562-2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: October 11, 2018

Rajender Parshad

...Petitioner

Versus

Supriya

...Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. P.S. Chauhan, Advocate
for the respondent.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondent has produced an order dated 11.08.2018 passed by the Managing Director, HAFED, whereby,after completion of the enquiry, order of punishment has been passed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has pointed out various discrepancies in the said order and has also stated that no date has been fixed after the passing of the order by this Court in the enquiry proceedings. He, therefore, contends that no enquiry has been held as a matter of fact.

This may be a good ground for challenging the order dated 11.08.2018 which has been passed by the respondent.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous. Petitioner, if so advised, may avail of his remedy in accordance with law.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE

October 11, 2018
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No