

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
222**

COCP-2539-2017

Date of decision:13.09.2018

RAJPAL MALIK AND ANOTHER

...PETITIONERS

Vs.

RITU BAJAJ AND ANOTHER

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Yesh Paal Malik, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Deepak Kumar, Advocate,
for Mr. Tribhuwan Dahiya, Advocate,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioners does not dispute the fact that the order passed by this Court has been complied with. However, he states that the order, which has been passed vide order dated 29.11.2017 (Annexure R/1), is contrary to the decision of the Government of Haryana dated 02.11.2016, which was appended as Annexure P-9 with the writ petition.

Be that as it may, since this Court, vide order dated 03.04.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CWP No. 6957 of 2017 preferred by the petitioners which is stated to have been violated, had not commented on the merits of the case and simply proceeded to dispose of the petition by issuing directions to decide the representation of the petitioners, this Court is of the view that the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

In case the petitioners are aggrieved by the decision taken by

the respondents, they may avail of their remedy in accordance with law.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

September 13, 2018

pj

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No