

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-2329-2017
Date of Decision: October 26, 2018

Naresh Kumar & another ...Petitioner
Versus
Goverdhan Lal Oberoi & another ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. D.N. Sharma, Advocate,
for the petitioners.

Mr. Som Nath Saini, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

In the light of the statement made by the counsel for the respondents that the construction, if any, is being carried out, is on Property No.50 and 51 and not on Property No.52, which was the subject matter of Regular Second Appeal No. 2357 of 2009, wherein, interim order dated 15.12.2009 was passed. He further states that the said Regular Second Appeal has been finally decided on 25.10.2017.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition does not survive and the same stands disposed of as infructuous.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

October 26, 2018
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No