

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

-.-

FAO 167 of 2003 (O&M)
Date of decision: 02.08.2018

Sher Mohd.

..... Appellant

Versus

Rupesh and others

..... Respondents

Coram: Hon'ble Mrs. Justice Rekha Mittal

-.-

Present: None for the appellant

Mr. Pardeep Goyal, Advocate
for respondent No. 3

-.-

Rekha Mittal, J. (Oral)

Notices to respondents No. 1 and 2 could not be issued as counsel for the appellant did not comply with order dated 25.04.2018.

There is no representation on behalf of the appellant. The situation was no different on the last date of hearing. As the insurance company has been given right of recovery, the appeal cannot be decided in absence of respondents No. 1 and 2.

Dismissed for non-prosecution.

(Rekha Mittal)
Judge

02.08.2018
mohan bimbra

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No