

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

248

COCF No.2316 of 2018

Date of Decision: November 19th, 2018

Raj Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Manohar Kant Kalohia and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. M.S. Bajwa, Advocate and
Mr. Jagdish Singh Mahal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harit Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

In compliance with the order passed by this Court, learned counsel for the respondents, who has filed his power of attorney, informs the Court that a speaking order had been passed on 21.01.2015, which copy has again been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

In view of the above, keeping in view the fact that the Court had, without commenting upon the merits of the case, disposed of the writ petition vide order dated 28.10.2014 by giving a direction to the respondent to decide the notice of demand dated 20.09.2014 by passing a speaking order, which has been so decided and indicates that the claim of the petitioner on consideration has been rejected by the then Secreatry, Punjab School Education Board, Mohali, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to avail of his remedy in accordance with law, if so advised.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

November 19th, 2018

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: No

Whether Reportable: No