

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCN No.2240 of 2017 (O&M).

Date of Decision: December 13, 2018

Ashok Kumar

.....Petitioner

versus

Smt.Shanti Devi and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr.Sanjay Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr.Jai Singh Yadav, Advocate, for the respondents.
Mr.Sandeep S.Mann, Senior DAG, Haryana.

-. -

Nirmaljit Kaur, J. (Oral)

It is pointed-out that vacant possession of the house has not been handed-over whereas the respondents were supposed to comply with the order dated 20.09.2016 by handing-over vacant possession.

Reply has been filed. As per para No.4 of the reply, possession was taken by the police on 13.07.2017 who also locked the premises. Some house-hold articles are lying there.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner does not dispute that keys of the said house are with him. If it is so, the petitioner shall open the lock of the house on 22.12.2018, on which date, the respondents shall pick up the articles belonging to them and kept in the said house. The needful shall be done in the presence of concerned S.H.O. to ensure compliance of the order. A chart of the articles be prepared, copy whereof be handed-over to the parties.

It is further stated in the reply that rent has been deposited upto 31.03.2017 with the Rent Controller.

The contempt petition is accordingly disposed of in the above

terms. In case needful is not done by 22.12.2018, as directed above, the present contempt petition shall revive.

A copy of the paper book and this order be handed-over to learned State counsel for information and compliance by the S.H.O. of the police station of jurisdiction.

The rent so deposited shall be released to the petitioners, if not already released, on an application moved by the petitioner before the Court.

December 13, 2018

mohinder

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	:	Yes/No