

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.2230 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 28.11.2018

Aas Mohd.

..Petitioner

versus

G.S. Jakhar

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Ms. Justice Nirmaljit Kaur

Present: Mr. Jamshed Ahmed, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

Nirmaljit Kaur, J. (Oral)

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of order dated 7.4.2014, vide which the respondents were directed to get the valuation of the trees assessed from the competent authority and to proceed for making recovery, if any, from the petitioner.

Reply has been filed.

As per reply, valuation of the trees was assessed as ₹ 84,000/- and only ₹ 70,000/- were recovered from the petitioner as per the direction of this Court.

In view of the above, the order has been complied with and the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous. The same is accordingly dismissed.

However, in case the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner with the passing of the order, he is at liberty to revive the present contempt petition.

The Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

(Nirmaljit Kaur)
Judge

28.11.2018
sharmila

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No