

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No. 2197 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 09.07.2018**

Inderjeet

..... Petitioner

Versus

Mrs. Gauri Prashar Joshi, IAS, Deputy Commissioner,
Panchkula, Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Piyush Kant Jain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sunil Kumar Vashisht, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner (Inderjeet) had filed a writ petition bearing *CWP No. 23274 of 2015* seeking *mandamus* directing the respondents to hand over the possession of 200 Square Feet build up residential Flat No. 42 allotted to the petitioner in draw out of 59 Flats, meant for Economically Weaker Section (EWS) in Group Housing Colony located within HUDA's Developed Sector-20 (Pocket-B), Panchkula.

The aforesaid writ petition was disposed of by a Division Bench of this Court vide order dated 26.09.2016 (**Annexure P-1**) by granting liberty to the petitioner to file a detailed and comprehensive representation alongwith the supporting material to substantiate his claim before the Deputy Commissioner, Panchkula (respondent No. 4 therein) and in the event of representation being filed by the petitioner, the same shall be decided in accordance with law by passing a speaking order after affording opportunity of hearing to him within a period of two months thereafter.

Since the needful was not done within the stipulated time of two months, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, a reply stands filed, wherein it is submitted that after giving opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, a speaking order dated 03.10.2017 (**Annexure R-1**) has been passed, stating that the petitioner is not eligible to be a BPL card holder as he does not fulfill the stipulated guidelines for BPL individual and/or family from the point of view of income.

In view of above, counsel for the parties concede that the order dated 26.09.2016 (**P-1**) stands complied with, therefore, no further action is warranted in the present contempt petition, as the same has become infructuous.

Dismissed as infructuous.

Rule stands discharged.

July 09, 2018

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>