

COCN No.2182 of 2017

Date of Decision: 29.11.2018

Harpartap Singh

.....Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Patil Ketan Baliram, SSP, District Fazilka

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAURPresent: Mr. Dhruv Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab with
Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab.**NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)**

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 23.05.2017 vide which a direction was issued to respondent No.3 to comply with the order dated 02.05.2016 passed by the Special Judge, Fazilka in accordance with law.

As per earlier reply filed by the respondent the call details as well as tower locations of the mobile phones have already been supplied except the call details and tower locations of the mobile phone belonging to SI Abhinav Chauhan. Today, when the matter was listed for hearing, learned counsel for the respondent has handed over a short reply by way of affidavit of Dr. Ketan Baliram Patil, IPS, SSP, Fazilka stating therein that inadvertent it was mentioned at para 4 of the reply dated 30.10.2018 filed by him that the police had already supplied the call details as well as tower locations of the mobile phones used by Inspector Jaswant Singh, DSP Veer Chand and DSP Ajmer Singh, whereas, for which the respondent has tendered apology with a further prayer that the affidavit dated 29.11.2018 be

the telecom authorities have informed that they do not keep the record of call details and tower locations etc. for more than one year as per the conditions of license agreement, due to which, they were not able to supply the said record. The respondent have also placed on record the copy of the order dated 15.11.2018 passed by the Special Judge, Fazilka modifying the earlier order to the extent that the information be supplied in case the same has not already been destroyed.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served in continuing with the contempt petition. The same is hereby dismissed.

Rule issued against the respondent stands discharged.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

29.11.2018
sandeep

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No