

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH
221**

COCP-2181-2017

Date of decision:13.09.2018

ANITA

...PETITIONER

Vs.

RAJINDER SINGH

...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Inderjit Singh Chawla, Advocate,
for Mr. Monty Goyal, Advocate,
the petitioners.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court with a grievance that the order dated 08.05.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CRM-M-16155 of 2017 titled as Anita vs. State of Punjab and others, has not been complied with.

Upon notice, reply has been filed by the respondent. According to the said reply, the complaint No. 1152/DCP dated 24.04.2017 of the petitioner has been considered and no substance therein has been found. The decision is dated 21.08.2017 (Annexure R-1), which has been duly approved by the Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar City on 22.08.2017.

Learned proxy counsel for the petitioner prays for an adjournment as the counsel for the petitioner is not available today. However, the request of the proxy counsel for the petitioner is not accepted in the light of the fact that this Court, vide order dated 08.05.2017 (Annexure P-1) had not commented on the merits of the case and simply

proceeded to dispose of the petition by issuing directions to the Deputy Commissioner of Police, Jalandhar, to consider the pending complaint, referred to above, which has been decided.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous and the same is disposed of as such.

In case the petitioner is aggrieved by the decision taken by the respondent, she may avail of her remedy in accordance with law.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

September 13, 2018
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No