

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-211-2017
Date of Decision: 06th August, 2018

Rajesh Kumar

...Petitioner

Versus

Navraj Sandhu & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. S.P. Chahar, Advocate, for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Sr. DAG, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and with their assistant, gone through the pleadings of the case. I have also gone through the orders passed by this Court from time to time and on considering the matter, this Court is in agreement with the suggestion(s) given by the amicus curiae appointed in connected contempt petition i.e. COCP No.1684 of 2016 as also counsel for the petitioner that liberty may be granted to the petitioner to challenge the action of the respondents, if he is aggrieved, in accordance with law.

The contempt petition stands disposed of with above observations and liberty.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

(**AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI**)
JUDGE

06th August, 2018
Harish

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No