

**233 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.2079 of 2017

DATE OF DECISION:01.05.2018

Phool Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Gurdas Singh

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. Peeush Gagnea, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. A. S. Mehta, Advocate for
Mr. Tarun Vir Singh Lehal, Advocate
for the respondent.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that order dated 11.05.2017 passed in CWP No.10052 of 2017 has not been complied with in toto. The issue of interest has not been considered at all whereas learned counsel for the respondent submits that a detailed speaking order has been passed.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that order has also not been conveyed to petitioner. Since the order is stated to be passed but copy has not been supplied to the petitioner, the same be supplied within a period of one week. In case said order is conveyed to petitioner and still he is aggrieved by said order, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Disposed of.

01.05.2018

rimpal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/No