

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

105

C.O.C.P. NO.2130 OF 2015

DATE OF DECISION: SEPTEMBER 17, 2018

Rajesh

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

A.K.Gupta and others

....Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Akshay Jain, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Harkesh Kumar, AAG, Haryana,
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the respondents submits that although water course was restored in the year 2016 at 3.30 P.M. but the same has not been made operational. He, on instructions from Mr.Ashok Kumar, SDO, Dabwali, further makes a categoric statement that the water course would not be made operational during the pendency of the writ petition.

In the light of the statement given by counsel for the respondents, on instructions, the present contempt petition is disposed of. It is, however, made clear that in case of violation of the statement made by counsel for the respondents, the petitioner would be at liberty to revive the contempt petition.

Rule issued against the respondents stands discharged subject to above.

**September 17, 2018
khurmi**

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No