

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT CHANDIGARH

108

COCP-2074-2018

Date of decision:24.07.2018

Ranjit Singh

...PETITIONER

Vs.

Jyoti Arora, IAS and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Sajjan Singh, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court alleging violation of the order dated 04.09.2017 (Annexure P-1) passed by this Court in CWP No. 19837 of 2017, which was preferred by the petitioner, wherein it was ordered that the petitioner be not replaced by any contractual employee which interim order was continued vide order dated 02.12.2017 (Annexure P-2).

Counsel for the petitioner contends that the respondent-College had issued an advertisement calling of tenders as per the outsourcing policy (Part-I) of the Haryana Government on 11.06.2018 (Annexure P-3) and subsequently, certain walk-in-interview has been resorted to. Counsel contends that because of issuance of the advertisement for tenders and for walk-in-interview, respondents have violated the order passed by this Court.

In the considered view of this Court, the act of the respondents in advertising a tender notice and for walk-in-interview would not amount

to violation of the orders passed by this Court dated 04.09.2017 and 02.12.2017 (Annexures P-1 and P-2).

The present contempt petition being devoid of merits stands dismissed.

July 24, 2018
pj

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No