

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

235/2

COCP No.203 of 2018

Date of Decision: September 11th, 2018

Gurmeet Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Shri A. Venu Parshad and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH

Present: Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate
for Mr. H.S. Dhindsa, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab,
for respondent No.1.

Mr. Gurinder Singh Attariwala, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASIH, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for respondent No.2 has placed on record the speaking order dated 07.09.2018 passed by the Executive Officer, Improvement Trust, Amritsar, whereby in compliance with the order passed by this Court in the writ petition preferred by the petitioner, the claim of the petitioner was considered and rejected. Copy of the order has been handed over to the proxy counsel for the petitioner, who prays for an adjournment in the light of the fact that the counsel for the petitioner is not available.

However, in the light of the directions issued by this Court in the writ petition preferred by the petitioner, whereby the claim of the petitioner for allotment of the plot was to be considered and a reasoned order passed by the respondents, the same having been passed, this Court is not inclined to adjourn the case and disposes it of as having been rendered infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to avail of the remedy in accordance with law.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

September 11th, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI H)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No