

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARAYANA AT
CHANDIGARH
244**

COC-1966-2018

Date of decision:10.09.2018

Sumit Kumar

...PETITIONER

Vs.

Sh. Kamaldeep Singh Sangha, District Magistrate and others

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Aditya Sharda, AAG, Punjab,
for the State.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the State has filed reply to the contempt petition by way of affidavit of the Superintendent, Central Jail, Amritsar on behalf of respondents No. 1 and 3, wherein it has been mentioned that the case of the petitioner was duly recommended to the District Magistrate, Amritsar and he, on reconsidering the same, had rejected the claim of the petitioner for grant of parole by passing a speaking order dated 03.08.2018 (Annexure R-2/T).

In view of the above, the present contempt petition has been rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as infructuous.

Liberty is, however, granted to the petitioner to avail of his remedy in accordance with law.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

September 10, 2018

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)

pj

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable:

Yes/No