

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.1954 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of decision : 17.04.2018

Inder Kumar Wadhwan

.....Petitioner

Versus

Vikas Gupta

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present : Ms. Ramandeep Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Balyan, Advocate for the respondent.

Mr. Jagdish Manchanda, Advocate for the applicant.

* * *

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J. (Oral)

CM No.21322-CII of 2017

This application has been moved for impleading the applicant as party-respondent in the present petition.

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that the petitioner has taken undue benefit on the basis of order passed in the writ petition and by misleading the Court.

The applicant is not necessary party in the contempt petition as he was not party in the writ petition. In case he is aggrieved by the order, he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

Application is dismissed.

COCP No.1954 of 2017

Reply by way of affidavit on behalf of the respondent has been filed in the Court and the same is taken on record.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that the order has been complied with and the same has been affirmed by learned counsel appearing for the petitioner.

Accordingly, the present contempt petition stands disposed of.

17.04.2018

sunil yadav

**(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes / No

Whether reportable : Yes / No