

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

213

COCN No.1910 of 2017 (O&M)

Date of Decision: September 4th, 2018

Jai Parkash Bhargave

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. P. Raghavendra Rao, IAS and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Samrat Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Deepak Manchanda, Additional Advocate General,
Haryana, for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that in LPA No.1285 of 2017, which has been preferred by the State of Haryana, operation of the judgment dated 19.01.2017 passed by the learned Single Judge, stands stayed.

In the light of the above, the present contempt petition as of now has been rendered infructuous.

In case the Division Bench of this Court either vacates the stay or modifies the said stay order or in case the Letter Patents Appeal preferred by the State is dismissed giving cause of action to the petitioner to assert non-compliance of the order passed by the learned Single Judge, the present contempt petition may be revived on an application to be moved by the petitioner.

Disposed of with above observations.

Rule issued to the respondents shall stand discharged subject to above.

September 4th, 2018

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No