

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204

COCF No.1941 of 2016 (O&M)
Date of Decision: November 12th, 2018

Satbir

...Petitioner

Versus

Shri Atma Rama Bhambu and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Baljinder Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Sandeep Singh Mann, Senior Deputy Advocate General,
Haryana.

Mr. Shalender Mohan, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Having considered the order passed by this Court and the reply which has been filed, although there appears to be some delay to finally take a decision dated 16.03.2016 by the Divisional Canal Officer, which required approval of the Chief Canal Officer. The said delay cannot be said to be inordinate and in any case, the directions issued by this Court were to the Divisional Canal Officer to take a decision afresh preferably within a period of three months, which has been so done. This Court does not want to proceed further in the matter, especially in the light of the fact that the final order which has been passed by the authorities concerned is a subject matter of the writ petition preferred by the petitioner, which is pending in this Court.

The petition, therefore, stands disposed of as having been rendered infructuous with above observations.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

November 12th, 2018

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes

Whether Reportable: No