

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH****Date of decision: 31.05.2018****COCP No.1857 of 2017**

Bansi Lal Narang & another

...Petitioners

Vs.

Parveen Kumar Thind & another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: None for the petitioners.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL)

No one appeared for the petitioners on the first two dates of hearing i.e. 17.07.2017 & 09.08.2017. On the third date i.e. 29.09.2017, counsel appeared and sought time to address arguments as to how this petition is maintainable after a lapse of 18 years. On the next date of hearing i.e. 26.10.2017, counsel sought time to place on record an additional affidavit of one of the petitioners to show the circumstances causing delay of 18 years in approaching Court. After a few dates, a statement was made that an application has been filed, but the same has been returned by the office with certain objections. On 23.01.2018, an application for placing on record Annexure P-3 to P-6 was moved, which was allowed. In the meantime, petitioner No.2 died which brought an application under Order 22 Rule 3 read with Section 151 CPC for bringing on record legal representatives which was allowed by order. On the last date also i.e. 20.04.2018, the case was adjourned for today on the request made on behalf of the learned counsel for the petitioners. Today no one appears on behalf of the

petitioners. It appears that the petitioners are not serious in prosecuting this case. Till today notice has not been issued to the respondents in this case.

Consequently, the present writ petition is dismissed for non-prosecution and in default of appearance as well as by virtue of Section 20 of the Contempt of Courts Act, 1971 with the expiry of period specified therein.

31.05.2018
Vimal

[RAJIV NARAIN RAINA]
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:
Whether Reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No