

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

COCP No.1850 of 2018

Date of decision: June 01, 2018

Smt. Sushila Rani

...Petitioner

Versus

Sh. Krishan Kumar Khandelwal and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJAN GUPTA

Present: Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate for the petitioner.

Rajan Gupta, J.(oral)

Notice of motion. On asking of the court, Mr. J.S. Wasu, Addl.

Advocate General, Haryana accepts notice on behalf of respondents.

Petitioner alleges violation of order dated 10.03.2017.

Operative part thereof reads as under:-

“In the circumstances, it has to be held that case of the petitioner would have to be considered under 2006 Rules. In normal circumstances, I would have asked the litigants to exercise their option under 2006 Rules but since an amount of Rs.2.5 lacs has already been granted to the petitioner and her son, it would have to be considered that they had exercised option of lumpsum payment and therefore would be entitled to further payment of Rs.2.5 lacs in equal share and that amount be paid to the petitioner and her son within a period of 2 months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order, failing which, the petitioner and her son would be entitled to claim interest @ 12% p.a. from today.”

According to counsel, LPA filed by the State has also been dismissed.