

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP No. 1824 of 2018

Date of Decision: 31.05.2018

Harbhajan Kaur

...Petitioner

Vs.

Dr. Abhinav Trikha

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Arun Bansal, Advocate for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Dismissed with liberty to the petitioner to avail his remedy under Section 11(9) of the Industrial Disputes Act, 1947 which provides that “Every award made, order issued or settlement arrived at by or before the Labour Court or Tribunal or National Tribunal shall be executed in accordance with the procedure laid down for execution of orders and decree of a civil court under order 21 of the Code of Civil Procedure, 1908 (5 of 1908)”.

Learned counsel for the petitioner urges that he may have another remedy under Section 33(C) (1) of the Act. This is for the counsel to advice, but, for the present, the contempt petition has to be dismissed as none of the respondents can be held guilty of the contempt of Court orders.

Dismissed.

**(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE**

31.05.2018

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*