

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

222

COCN No.1849 of 2016

Date of Decision: August 2nd, 2018

Dharmindra Constructions Pvt. Ltd.

...Petitioner

Versus

Anil Kumar Tripathi and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Malkiat Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K. Singal, Advocate
for the respondents.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Petitioner has approached this Court alleging violation of the order dated 20.06.2016 passed by this Court in CWP No.12677 of 2016 preferred by the petitioner.

2. It is the contention of learned counsel for the petitioner that a direction was issued by this Court to consider the one time settlement proposal of the petitioner prior to embarking upon the procedure to take coercive steps to recover the amount due from the petitioner. His contention is that prior thereto, auction notice was issued by the respondents and, therefore, they have violated the orders passed by this Court.

3. This contention of learned counsel for the petitioner is rebutted by the counsel for the respondents by asserting that the order passed by this Court was served upon the respondents only on 15.07.2016 and that too through a communication. Thereafter the matter was processed and a final decision on the one time settlement proposal was taken on 03.08.2016 and conveyed to the petitioner.

4.

This Court does not find that there is any violation of the order passed

by this Court. Petitioner, if so advised, may avail of the remedy in accordance with law against the order dated 03.08.2016 taken by the respondents.

- 5. The present contempt petition stands dismissed.
- 6. Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

August 2nd, 2018
Puneet

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI H)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No