

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCOP No.1780 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 17.12.2018

Mehar Chand and anotherPetitioners

Versus

Jitender KumarRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIRMALJIT KAUR

Present: Mr. Sanjay Mittal, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

NIRMALJIT KAUR, J. (ORAL)

The present contempt petition has been filed for non-compliance of the order dated 12.3.2018, vide which direction was issued to respondent No.5 to decide the representation dated 11.9.2017 of the petitioners within a period of four weeks. Further direction was given that in case the petitioners are found entitled for their necessary claim, the payment shall be disbursed to them forthwith.

Reply has been filed. As per the said reply, the petitioners were found entitled for royalty/annuity, which has been paid up to the year 2017 and the said decision has also been placed on record as Annexure R-5.

Learned counsel for the petitioners, however, submits that rate of royalty/annuity should have been ₹ 21,000/- per acre per annum instead of ₹ 15,000/- per acre per annum.

There was no such rate mentioned in the legal notice of the petitioners or the order passed by this Court.

In view of above, no contempt is made out. Accordingly, the contempt petition is dismissed.

However, liberty is given to the petitioners to challenge the said rate, to which royalty/annuity has been given, in accordance with law, if so advised.

Rule against the respondent stands discharged.

(NIRMALJIT KAUR)
JUDGE

17.12.2018
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned : Yes/No
Whether Reportable : Yes/No