

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

124

COCP No.1765 of 2018

Date of Decision:29.05.2018

Anita Pahwa

....petitioner

Versus

Parshant Kumar Goyal and others

.....respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr.Satyaveer Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (ORAL):

As no deadline has been fixed by the Court to use the word 'preferably', no contempt arises. Besides, the petitioner is a complainant in a private service matter and not a government servant involving the respondent who is under charge sheet and inquiry reports are pending. She has no locus standi in the matter as she cannot be said to be personally aggrieved. It is only the persons who are personally aggrieved who have locus to agitate before this Court, their grievances. Moreover, there can be no public interest litigation in service matter in view of *Duryodhan Sahu etc. vs. Jitendra Kumar Mishra and others (1998) 7 SCC 273* and *B. Singh vs. Union of India (2004) 3 SCC 363*.

Accordingly, no contempt is made out against the respondents.

The petition is dismissed at its threshold.

29.05.2018

neenu

Whether speaking/reasoned

Whether reportable-

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)

JUDGE

Yes/No.

Yes/No