

**208 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCN No.1775 of 2016(O&M)
DATE OF DECISION:03.04.2018

Kaptan Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

Anand Mohan Saran & others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE DAYA CHAUDHARY

Present: Mr. R. K. Choudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajesh K. Sheoran, Additional A.G., Haryana.

DAYA CHAUDHARY, J.(ORAL)

Notice to newly impleaded respondent could not be issued for want of process fee.

Learned State counsel submits that in pursuance of order dated 29.01.2016 passed in the writ petition action has been taken and status report has been filed on 03.05.2017. The relevant portion of the affidavit is reproduced as under:-

“That in compliance of the above said interim order dated 24.01.2017 the Deputy Commissioner, Gurugram has sent the report vide letter no.301/Lb, dated 09.03.2017 and after perusal of the above said report, answering deponent has taken final decision to warn Sh. Hari Chand , President, Municipal Committee, Farukhnagar to remain careful in future and further directed to implement the works as per the relevant provisions of Haryana Municipal Act, 1973 vide

COCF No.1775 of 2016 :2:

order memo no.4AE/2017/43317 dated 27.04.2017, copy of the same is annexed as annexure R/1. Now, it is also pertinent to mention here that orders of Hon'ble Court has been complied with on part of answering deponent therefore present contempt petition may kindly be rendered as infructuous.”

Since compliance of the order has been made, nothing survives in the contempt petition and the same stands disposed of.

In case the petitioner is aggrieved in any manner and the order has not been complied with then he is at liberty to avail the appropriate remedy.

03.04.2018
rimal

(DAYA CHAUDHARY)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No