

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 1573 of 2018

Date of Decision: 17.05.2018

Subhash Chand

...Petitioner

Vs.

J. Ganesan, IAS, Chief Administrator, HUDA and others...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Mr. Raman Gaur, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Pursuant to the orders of the Division Bench of this Court in the case of the petitioner in CWP No. 11778 of 2017, Subhash Chand Vs. State of Haryana and others decided on 26.05.2017, the plot bearing No. 264 has been allotted to the petitioner from the ex-serviceman quota in Urban Estate, Sector 62, Faridabad but no allotment letter has been issued to him so far.

Learned counsel for the petitioner says that after the orders were passed, the controversy was raised that the petitioner was a Subedar and not an ex-serviceman and was thus not eligible which considerably delayed the matter. This issue has now been resolved in favour of the petitioner.

The HUDA is reminded of the observations made by the Division Bench in the aforesaid writ petition that the eligibility dispute had to be resolved in terms of CWP No. 13630 of 2012, Chander Bhan Sharma Vs. Haryana Urban Development Authority and others and Special Leave Petition against the said decision has also been dismissed by the Supreme Court and the orders have attained finality.

In these circumstances, I deem it appropriate to direct the Haryana Urban Development Authority, Faridabad (HUDA) to issue the allotment letter to the petitioner and call upon him to deposit the dues as per law so that he is handed over possession of the plot and free to raise construction thereon within a month from the date of receiving of certified copy of the order.

As a result, with the above directions the petition is disposed of.

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

17.05.2018

kv

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*