

231

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No.1469 of 2018 (O&M)

Date of Decision: 17.07.2018

NIRMAL SINGH AND ANOTHER

..... Petitioners

Versus

**A. VENUPRASAD, CHAIRMAN, PUNJAB STATE TRANSMISSION
CORPORATION LIMITED, THE MALL, PATIALA AND ANOTHER**

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Umesh Sharma, Advocate for
Mr. Karanjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Vikas Chatrath, Advocate
for the respondents.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

The writ petition bearing CWP No.24465 of 2014 filed by the petitioners alongwith six others, was *allowed* by learned Single Judge of this Court vide order dated 18.12.2015 (**Annexure P-1**) with a direction to the respondents therein to step-up the pay of petitioner Nos.1 to 7 therein (including present petitioners) equal to that being drawn by their junior Gurmeet Singh.

An appeal bearing *LPA No.943 of 2016* filed by the respondents also was *dismissed* by this Court vide order dated 24.01.2017 (**Annexure P-2**) with a direction to pay the arrears to the petitioners within a

period of three months from the date of receipt of a certified copy of the order.

Since the needful was not done within the stipulated time, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, separate replies on behalf of the respondents have been filed in Court today, which are taken on record.

In replies, it is stated that after due consideration, revised retiral benefits *qua* the petitioners stand released. Therefore, counsel for the respondents states that no further action is warranted in the present contempt petition.

Learned counsel for the petitioners also concedes the aforesaid factual position, however, prays to grant liberty to challenge the calculation if there is any factual error.

Liberty is granted.

Disposed of.

Rule is discharged.

July 17, 2018

'rajneesh'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No