

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**COCP No. 1468 of 2018
Date of Decision: 10.05.2018**

Satpal Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

B.K. Bhawra

...Respondent

2. COCP No. 1472 of 2018

Tarlok Singh

...Petitioner

Vs.

B.K. Bhawra

...Respondent

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE RAJIV NARAIN RAINA

Present: Ms. Surinder Kaur, Advocate for
Mr. Ravi Malhotra, Advocate
for the petitioner.

RAJIV NARAIN RAINA, J. (Oral)

Issue notice of motion.

On the asking of the Court, Mr. P.S. Bajwa, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State. Learned counsel for the petitioner to supply requisite number of copies of the paper book to the counsel opposite during the course of day.

This order will dispose of the above-mentioned cases as common questions of law and facts are involved in them which can conveniently be decided by a common order.

The petitioner alleges breach of the order dated 19.10.2016

passed in CWP No. 21642 of 2016, Satpal Singh Vs. The State of Punjab and others decided on 19.10.2016. The operative part of the order reads as follows:

“Having heard learned counsel for the petitioner and considering the nature of relief sought, however without expressing any views on merits, we dispose of this writ petition with a direction to the respondents to consider the subject-claim in the light of the above-cited judgment of this Court and if found entitled to, release the due benefits to petitioner within a period of four months from the date of receiving a certified copy of this order.”

The petitioner complains that order has not been complied with. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in case a decision in pursuance to the order which is pending shall be taken at the earliest, in any case not later than six weeks, he would be satisfied.

In view of the above, the petitions are disposed of with the direction to respondent to take a decision within six weeks. In case, fresh cause of action accrues to the petitioner to invoke contempt petition of this Court, apart from proceedings under the Act, it shall consider any decision of punitive costs which shall be deduced from the salary of the official(s) responsible for the delay in non-compliance as per directions in COCP No. 1412 of 2018, Satnam Singh Vs. B.K.

Bawra Additional Director General of Police decided on 07.05.2018.

Both the petitions stand disposed of with the above
directions .

10.05.2018
kv

(RAJIV NARAIN RAINA)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : *Yes/No*
Whether reportable : *Yes/No*