

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

C.O.C.P. No.1367 of 2017
Date of Decision: 08.02.2018

Mewa Rani
..... Petitioner
Versus

Shekhar Vidyarthi, IAS, Director, Urban Local Bodies, Haryana and others
..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Sunil Chahal, Advocate for
Mr. Vineet Chaudhary, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. D.K.Mittal, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana
for respondent Nos.1, 2 and 4.

Mr. P.S.Chauhan, Advocate for respondent No.3.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (Oral)

The petitioner had filed CWP No.61 of 2016 seeking directions to the respondents to decide her Legal Notice dated 09.10.2014. The said petition was disposed of by this Court, vide order dated 09.02.2016 (**Annexure P-1**) with directions to the respondent to take a decision on the Legal Notice dated 09.10.2014 by passing a speaking order and after affording an opportunity of hearing to the petitioner, preferably within two months from the date of receipt of certified copy of that order. Since the needful was not done, hence the present contempt petition.

Upon notice, reply on behalf of respondent No.3-M.C., Yamauna Nagar- Jagadhri stands filed, wherein it is stated that the said Legal Notice dated 09.10.2014 has been decided vide speaking order dated 27.10.2016. It is also submitted that the gratuity amounting to Rs.17,280/- (**Annexure R-1/1**) and the family pension amounting to Rs.9,73,262/- (**Annexure R-1/2**) have been disbursed to the petitioner.

At the time of hearing, learned Counsel for the respondents submit that the present petition has become infructuous as the aforesaid directions have already been complied with. This fact is not disputed by learned opposite Counsel.

In view of above, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous.

February 08, 2018
Gagan
(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No