

201-A

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No. 1407 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.03.2018**

Court on its own motion

..... Petitioner

Versus

Jyoti Jindal

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Court on its own motion.

Mr. A.S. Tewatia, Advocate
for the respondent.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This Court, on the previous date of hearing, had passed the following order:-

“ The present contempt was initiated against respondent-Jyoti Jindal in the light of order dated 27.05.2013, passed in the connected COCP No.1667 of 2012.

It transpires that Jyoti Jindal (respondent-contemner herein) had filed CWP No.25579 of 2012, whereby she had challenged the Show Cause Notices for her termination of her services as Hindi Teacher issued on the ground of being lower in merit and erroneously issued appointment orders on 04.11.2011. The said writ petition was allowed on 06.05.2013, however, in LPA No.999 of 2013, filed by State of Punjab, the judgment passed by learned Single Judge in favour of Jyoti Jindal was set aside vide order dated 19.02.2014. The present contempt is initiated on the basis that the respondent-contemner had made a false averment in her writ petition that she had appeared on the date of second counseling and, therefore, illegally secured appointment despite being lower in merit.

Learned counsel for the respondent-contemner states that after the decision of the LPA Bench, the services of the

petitioner stands terminated and, therefore, she having already suffered enough, be spared of further proceedings in the present contempt, without going into the merits of the contentions.

Learned counsel for the State is directed to find out the factual position.

Adjourned to 27.03.2018. ”

At the time of hearing today, Mrs. Lavanya Paul, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from Mr. Shashi Gagg, Law Officer, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, concedes that the services of the respondent-contemnor already stands terminated.

In view of the above, no further proceedings are warranted in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of.

Rule stands discharged.

Since the main case has been decided/disposed of, therefore, no orders are required to be passed in the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

March 27, 2018

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>