

201-B

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**C.O.C.P. No. 1406 of 2013 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 27.03.2018**

Court on its own motion

..... Petitioner

Versus

Shavita Vijweshwar and others

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Court on its own motion.

Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate
for the respondents.

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

This Court, on the previous date of hearing, had passed the following order:-

“ The present contempt was initiated against the respondents, namely, Shavita Vijweshwar, Pankaj Kumar and Maninder Kaur in the light of order dated 27.05.2013, passed in the connected COCP No.1667 of 2012.

It transpires that Shavita Vijweshwar, Pankaj Kumar and Maninder Kaur (respondents-contemners herein) had filed CWP No.25418 of 2012, whereby they had challenged the Show Cause Notices for their termination of services as Hindi Teacher(s) issued on the ground of being lower in merit and erroneously issued appointment orders in the month of November 2011. The said writ petition was allowed on 06.05.2013, however, in LPA No.1000 of 2013 filed by State of Punjab, the judgment passed by learned Single Judge in favour of the respondents was set aside vide order dated 19.02.2014. The present contempt is initiated on the basis that the respondents-contemners had made a false averment in the writ petition bearing CWP No.25418 of 2012 that they had appeared on the date of second counseling and, therefore, illegally secured appointment despite being lower in merit.

Learned counsel for the respondents-contemnors state that after the decision of the LPA Bench, the services of the respondents stand terminated and, therefore, they having already suffered, be spared in the present contempt proceedings, without going into merits of the contentions.

Learned counsel for the State is directed to find out the factual position.

Adjourned to 27.03.2018. ”

At the time of hearing today, Mrs. Lavanya Paul, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from Mr. Shashi Gagg, Law Officer, O/o DPI (SE), Punjab, concedes that the services of the respondents-contemnors already stand terminated.

In view of the above, no further proceedings are warranted in the present contempt petition.

Disposed of.

Rule stands discharged.

Since the main case has been decided/disposed of, therefore, no orders are required to be passed in the pending miscellaneous application(s), if any.

March 27, 2018

'dk kamra'

**(JASWANT SINGH)
JUDGE**

<i>Whether Speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether Reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>