

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

236

COCF No.1259 of 2018

Date of Decision: November 19th, 2018

Balkar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

Ashima Brar and another

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Naveen Daryal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashish Yadav, Additional Advocate General, Haryana.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the respondents states that the order passed
by this Court has been complied with.

Counsel for the petitioner, however, states that the deduction of
the employer's share from the pensionary benefits could not have been done
by the respondents. What they could have recovered is only the employee's
share. He, therefore, prays for liberty to challenge the said order.

In view of the above, the present contempt petition is
disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail of his remedy in
accordance with law.

Rule issued to the respondents stands discharged.

November 19th, 2018

Puneet

**(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No

Whether Reportable: Yes/No