

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

COCP No. 1247 of 2017 (O&M)
Date of decision : 4.12.2018

Balkaran Singh Panch

..Petitioner

versus

Sh. Naval Ram

.. Respondent

Coram: Hon'ble Ms. Justice Nirmaljit Kaur

Present: None for the petitioner.

Ms. Sudeepti Sharma, Additional Advocate General, Punjab.

Nirmaljit Kaur, J. (Oral)

On the last date of hearing, compliance report/reply was filed in pursuance to the order dated 15.1.2016. Copy of the compliance report/reply was supplied to counsel for the petitioner, who sought time to go through the same.

Today, no one has appeared for the petitioner. It is obvious that the petitioner is satisfied with the order passed and do not wish to pursue the present contempt petition any further.

As per reply, the Tehsildar has already been instructed to demarcate the land and convey the same to Deputy Commissioner in response to the order.

Further action in pursuance to the said demarcation shall be taken in accordance with law.

In view of the above, no useful purpose would be served to proceed the present contempt petition.

Dismissed accordingly.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

(Nirmaljit Kaur)
Judge

4.12.2018
sharmila

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No