

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COCp-1254-2018
Date of Decision: 25th September, 2018

Dharam Paul ...Petitioner
Versus
Sumer Singh Gurjar ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI

Present: Mr. Karnail Singh, Advocate, for
Mr. Hari Om Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ayush Sarna, AAG, Punjab.

AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI, J. (ORAL)

Counsel for the petitioner states that the order passed by this Court has been duly complied with as the legal notice, which was to be decided, has been so done. He, however, states that the petitioner has only been given notional promotion, whereas, he is entitled to regular promotion, for which, he would take appropriate steps in accordance with law.

In view of the statement of the learned counsel for the petitioner, the present contempt petition is disposed of as infructuous with liberty aforesaid.

Rule issued to the respondent stands discharged.

25th September, 2018
Harish

(AUGUSTINE GEORGE MASI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether Reportable:	Yes/No