123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH

COCP No.1252 of 2018 (O&M)
Date of Decision: 23.04.2018

RAJEEV TANWAR AND OTHERS

..... Petitioners

Versus

PAWAN KUMAR, STATION HOUSE OFFICER, POLICE STATION, ZIRAKPUR, DISTRICT MOHALI

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASWANT SINGH

Present: Mr. Kriteka Sheokand, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mrs. Lavanya Paul, Assistant Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

****

JASWANT SINGH, J. (ORAL)

Rajeev Tanwar, his wife Pinky Tanwar and his parents alleges that the SHO, Police Station Zirakpur, has committed wilful disobedience of the order dated 17.01.2018 **(Annexure P-1)**, passed by this Court, whereby the SHO concerned was directed to look into the threat to the life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of one Vinod Saini and his wife Kusum.

Upon direction by this Court to verify the fact, Mrs. Lavanya Paul, Additional Advocate General, Punjab, on instructions from Shri Pawan Kumar, SHO, states that an inquiry stands concluded by Mr. Gursewak Singh, Superintendent of Police (Head Quarters) and the allegations levelled by the petitioners were found false. It has been found that there is some

Rajneesh Sharma
2018.04.24 18:22
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document

money dispute between the parties and hence the allegations are false.

The petitioners are also stated to have approached the National Commission for Scheduled Castes & Scheduled Tribes and also learned JMIC under Section 156(3) Cr.P.C., wherein the proceedings are fixed for 11.07.2018.

In view of the action in the shape of the inquiry being conducted by officer of the senior rank, this Court finds that the directions stand duly complied with, no contempt levelled such allegation against anybody.

Dismissed.

April 23, 2018 **(JASWANT SINGH)**
'rajneesh' **JUDGE**

Whether Speaking/reasoned	*Yes/No*
Whether Reportable	*Yes/No*

Rajneesh Sharma
2018.04.24 18:22
I attest to the accuracy and
integrity of this document